

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,551	12/22/2004	Hea-Chun Lee	6192.0512.US 8425	
32605 MACPHERSO	7590 11/15/2007 N KWOK CHEN & HEID	EXAMINER		
2033 GATEWAY PLACE			MAY, ROBERT J	
SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · ·		TH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/518,551	LEE, HEA-CHUN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert May	2885			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 and 25-33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 21 and 25-33 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2007 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
	·				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2885

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 24, 2007 has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings were received on October 24, 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to it is grammatically incorrect. "a diameter of the two lamps are same with each other" and it is suggested to replace with -each diameter of each of the two lamps is equal to each other-.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10/518,551 Art Unit: 2885

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-9, 11 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torihara (6,609,807) in view of Watai (5,788,356).

In regard to Claims 1 and 11, Torihara discloses in Figure 1 a light guide plate 3, comprising an incident face (vertical face of light guide 3, shown not labeled adjacent to light sources 2), a light reflecting face 4 which reflects the light towards a light exiting face 3a, a light reflecting member 3b for covering the light incident face forming a light receiving space that is defined by the light reflecting member and incident face, at least two lamps 2 spaced apart from each other by a second distance wherein the sum of the distance between the lamps 2 and both diameters of the lamps are shown to be greater than the first distance being the width of the incidence face, and a receiving container 16 for receiving the light guide plate 3, and light reflecting member 4.

Torihara fails to disclose the light reflecting member including a chamfer disposed at an edge enhancing the reflecting efficiency.

Watai discloses in Figure 12, a chamfer (shown but not labeled) located at an edge of the reflecting member for reflecting the light towards the incidence face.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reflecting member of Torihara with the chamfered edge of Watai for reflecting the light towards the incident side of the light guide.

Application/Control Number:

10/518,551

Art Unit: 2885

In regard to Claim 11, in addition to the elements recited in Claim 1, Torihara further discloses in Figure 1, a liquid crystal display panel 6 which faces the light exiting face 3a and is received by the container 16, and a chassis 13 where a first portion thereof presses against an edge of the liquid crystal display panel 6 (firmly held to the LCD panel 6 Cols 6-7, 67+).

Regarding Claims 1 and 11 regarding the added limitation that a virtual line passes through centers of the two lamps meeting the light reflecting member (interpreted to mean at the chamfer), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to re-arrange the lamps so that the top lamp is at the top left corner of the receiving space (on the left side portion of the backlight module) as a known alternative to the disclosed configuration to suit a particular application or geometrical configuration, since it has been held that rearranging parts of a prior art structure involves only routing skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

In regard to Claims 5 and 15, Torihara discloses in Figure 1, the two lamps 2 as having the same diameter.

In regard to Claims 6 and 16, Torihara discloses in Figure 1, the lamps including a first lamp 2 and a second lamp 2 wherein a first lamp is shown to be positioned at a first edge of the light incident face and the reflecting face 4 and the second lamp disposed near a second edge diagonally opposite to the first edge.

In regard to Claims 7 and 17, Torihara fails to disclose the light reflecting member as including a chamfer disposed at the second edge.

Application/Control Number:

10/518,551

Art Unit: 2885

Watai discloses in Figure 12, a chamfer (shown but not labeled) located at an edge of the reflecting member for reflecting the light towards the incidence face, but fails to show the chamfer as being located at the second edge as claimed being diagonally opposite to the incident and reflecting face edge. Variations in reflector shape, such as the chamfer of Watai, are well known methods of improving the light reflection in backlight assemblies such as that of Torihara. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to locate a chamfer where needed including at the second edge, on the backlight of Torihara.

Regarding Claims 8 and 18 Torihara fails to disclose the first lamp being disposed near a third edge and a second lamp being disposed near a fourth edge being diagonally opposite to the third edge.

It would have been an obvious expedient to reverse the first and second lamps so that the first lamp resides at the third edge and the second lamp is positioned at the fourth edge being diagonally opposite to the third edge for accommodating a particular configuration of the backlight assembly see In re Gazda, 219 F.2d 449, 104 USPQ 400.

In regard to Claims 9 and 19, Torihara fails to disclose a chamfer disposed at the fourth edge.

Watai discloses in Figure 12, a backlight assembly with a reflecting member 40 having a chamfer (flat surface indicated by leader line) at a fourth edge diagonally opposite to the third edge for directing the light towards the incidence face.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reflecting member of Torihara with the chamfer of Watai to direct the light towards the incident face.

Application/Control Number:

10/518,551 Art Unit: 2885

Claims 2-4, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torihara (6,609,807) and Watai (5,788,356) as applied to Claims 1 and 11, and further in view of Imai (5,253,089).

Torihara fails to disclose a groove in the incident face having a cross section that is V-shaped or curved.

Imai discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a backlight assembly with light guide 30,32 having a groove at the incident face with a V-shaped 33 or curved shape 31 cross section so that a larger section of the incident face is contacting or exposed to the light source 17 (Col 3, lines 22-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the incident face of Torihara with the curved or V-shaped grooves of Imai so that a majority of the incident face is in contact with the light source.

Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torihara (6,609,807) and Watai (5,788,356) as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Nagatani (6,672,733).

Torihara fails to disclose the centers of the lamps positioned in a same plane that is parallel to the light exiting face or horizontal.

Nagatani discloses in Figure 13 a first and second lamp 43G, 43RB wherein the centers thereof are positioned on a plane that is parallel to the light exiting face (upper

horizontal face of light guide 1) which provides for a shorter vertical profile or width of the backlight assembly with the two lamps arranged in such parallel configuration.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the lamps of Torihara in a parallel configuration to shorten or reduce the vertical profile of the backlight assembly.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are not persuasive and moot in view of the amendments to the Claims requiring a different application of the reference Torihara in the rejections above.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21 and 25-32 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding Claims 21 and 33, the prior art does not teach or show a backlight assembly comprising a light guide plate with a light incidence width having a first distance and a light reflecting member covering the light incidence face forming a lamp receiving space with at least two lamps disposed in the lamp receiving space where the sum of diameters and the distance between the two lamps or second distance is larger than the first distance and the highest point of a lower lamp is higher than the lowest point of an upper lamp.

10/518,551

Art Unit: 2885

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert May whose telephone number is (571) 272-5919. The examiner can normally be reached between 9 am– 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on (571) 272-7044. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval PAIR system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

11/13/07

JÓNG-SUK (JAMES) LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER